(c) what was the outcome thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF WATER RESOURCES (SHRI SIS RAM OLA): (a) to (c) during the recent visit of the Prime Minister of India to Nepal from 5—7 June, 1997, aspects concerning Indo-Nepal peraticn in Water Resources Sector particu- 'to *implementation* of Mahakali treary on entaring River Waters and preparation of c^iailcd project report (DPR) for Pancheshwar Multipurpose Project were discussed. The instrument of ratification of Mahakaii Treaty between the two countries on the integrated development of Mahakaii river was exchanged on 6th June, 1997. The two Prime Ministers directed their Officials to continue their discussions and resolve the outstanding issues for the completion of the Pancheshwar Detailed Project Report.

The two Ministers further directed their officials to take immediate action to finalise modalities for supply of power from Tanakpur to Nepal and for the development of necessary infrastructure for supply of water from Tanakpur and Sarda barrages to Nepal as per Treaty. The Prime Ministers of India and Nepal also directed their officials to expedite a joint study of river navigation for promotion of Tourism and for facilitating transportation of Cargo.

Cauvery Dispute

1705. SHRI J. CHITHARANJAN:
SHRI JALALUDDIN ANSARI:

Will the Minister of WATER RESOURCES be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Kerala Chief Minister Shri E. K. Nayanar has expressed his concern at the moves to consider the Cauvery dispute as a matter between Tamil Nadu and Karnataka neglecting the interests of Kerala; and

(b) if so, the details thereof and Government's reaction thereto?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF WATER RESOURCES (SHRI SIS RAM OLA): (a) and (b) Yes, Sir. As per the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court, the draft scheme for the implementation of the Order dated 25.6.1991 of the Cauvery Water Disputes Tribunal was formulated by the Central Government under Section 6A of the Inter State Water Disputes Act, 1956 and sent on 38.5.1SW7 to all the party including Kerala for their commententro the draft scheme. While the commenl Krnataka, Tamil Nadu and Pondicherry have been received, Kerala has asked for some more time for sending their comments.

The scheme will be finalised strictly on merits after examining the comments of all the concerned Party States.

As such the question of not involving the State of Kerala for resolving the issue docs not arise.

12.00 Noon

RE. NON-CIRCULATIOIN OF ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Before Papers are laid, let me clear one thing. There was some query and some misunderstanding about some answers to questions about which the Members thought that those were not circulated properly. Accordingly to the rules and the practice in the Rajya Sabha—and in the Lok Sabha, I am sure—there are certain answers which are supplied and circulated. There are certain answers which are kept in the Notice Office of the Rajya Sabha Secretariat and Members can go there and see them. Members can see them before they come here because the answers cannot be circulated till the question is actually asked. They are kind of not final. That is the thing. T was confused because I did not know what everybody else was saying. Mr. Malkani was also contused, about a question which I checked up and found that it was correct. Then there was this answer on the same question; that has not been